



Chemical Analysis in SEM/TEM

Marc Willinger







- SEM:
 - components of the SEM
 - signals and their information content
 - Focused Ion Beam/SEM
- TEM
- Examples



Robert Hooke (1665)



MICROGRAPHIA: OR SOME Phyfiological Defcriptions OF MINUTE BODIES MADE BY MAGNIFYING GLASSES. WITH OBSERVATIONS and INQUIRIES thereupon.

By R. HOOKE, Fellow of the ROYAL SOCIETY.

Non poffis oculo quantum contendere Lincens, Non tamen idcirco contemnas Lippus inungi. Horat. Ep. lib. 1.



LONDON, Printed by 70. Martyn, and 7a. Allefory, Printers to the ROXALSOCIETY, and are to be fold at their Shop at the Bell in S. Paul's Church-yard. M DC LX V.



Merely because one says something might be so, it does not follow that it has been proved that it is.

(Newton in conversation with Hooke, concerning Hooke's claim to have discovered the inverse square law of gravitation before him)



Robert Hooke (1665)







Observations in a Microscope can be Unpleasant





Abb. 1. »Thames Water«, Stich von William Heath um 1828.



Entdeckung des Elektrons





Sir Joseph John Thomson (1856 – 1940) Nobelpreis 1906



J.J. Thomson's 2nd Cathode ray experiment

1897: discovered "<u>corpuscles</u>", small particles with a charge/mass ratio more than 1000 times greater than that of protons, swarming in a see of positive charge ("plum pudding model").

=> Discovery of the ELECTRON



Plum pudding model (1904)



Elektron: Welle-Teilchen Dualismus





De Broglies doctoral thesis (1924):

Application of the idea of particle – wave dualism (only known for photons up to then) for any kind of matter.

=> Matter Waves

 $\lambda = \frac{h}{p} = \frac{h}{mv}$

Louis-Victor Pierre Raymond de Broglie (1892 - 1987) Nobel prize: 1929



Nachweis: Elektron = Welle





Entdeckte 1927 in Aberdeen unabhängig vom Amerikaner Clinton Joseph Davisson die Elektronenbeugung am Kristallgitter, ein Beweis für die Materiewellen-Theorie de Broglies. Hierfür erhielten beide den Nobelpreis für Physik 1937.

Sir George Paget Thomson (1892 – 1975) Nobel Prize: 1937 (shared with C.J. Davison)



Why electrons?



Smallest visible objects...

-with eye : 0.1 mm = 10 ⁻⁴ m (size of one eye «"stick"»)

with light microscope ~ 300nm
(magnification max ~ 2000x)

Can we simply magnify the image of an object to observe every detail ?

```
Rayleigh criterion (1869):
```

$$\delta = \frac{0.61\lambda}{n\sin\beta}$$

 λ : wavelength of the radiation, n: refractive index of the viewing medium β : semi-angle of collection of the magnifying lens.





Why electrons?



The interaction of waves with an obstacle:



The boat rides the long wavelength ocean wave, but reflects the small wavelength surface ripple. An observer who wishes to detect the presence of the boat can do so only by observing waves which have wavelengths smaller than, or comparable to, the length of the boat. (From Sherwood, p.19)



Waves on water surface



Components of the SEM















Components of the SEM





http://www.ammrf.org.au/myscope/ sem/practice/virtualsem/sparkler.php FH



Components of the SEM







Deflection Coils











AC FHI

- SE1- at point of primary interaction
- SE2- away from initial interaction point
- SE3- by BSE outside of sample
- BSE1- at point of primary interaction
- BSE2- away from initial interaction point



http://www.engr.uvic.ca/~mech580/electronmicroscopy/Introduction%20SEM.pdf

Fig. 14 Area of Secondary Electron Emission from Specimen Surface







Electron yield as a function of the energy of the emitted electron

http://www.engr.uvic.ca/~mech580/electron-microscopy/ Introduction%20SEM.pdf



- SE: less than 50 eV of kinetic energy originate from a very shallow region at the sample surface → good for high resolution
 - \rightarrow topographic information
- BSE: back scattered primary electrons (from the beam) due to elastic collis with nuclei of sample atoms
 - \rightarrow high energy
 - \rightarrow larger interaction volume
 - ightarrow contrast related to average atomic number
- EDX, Cathodoluminescence, EBSD, ...







Everhart-Thornley SE detector







Combined SE/BSE Detector





SE: a positive collector voltage (ca. +200 to +400V) attracts SE toward the detector, where a 10kV post acceleration gives them enough energy to create a bunch of photons for each SE.

BSE: a negative collector polarisation (ca. -100V) repels SE and the only (fast) BSE emitted in the narrow cone to the scintillator are detected



BSE Detector







Secondary electron detector: (Everhart-Thornley)

Backscattered electron detector: (Solid-State Detector)











Simulate the electron trajectories in a solid constituted of 25 nm thick Ti film on a GaAlAs substrate

- 200 electron trajectories are displayed
- Incident energy varies from 5 to 30 keV
- Red trajectories represent electrons that are backscattered
- · Blue trajectories represent electrons that are absorbed



http://www.gel.usherbrooke.ca/casino/download2.html CASINO : "monte CArlo SImulation of electroN trajectory in sOlids"









Interaction volume / Information Depth



Change in SE contrast with the voltage



(from L.Reimer, Image formation in the low-voltage SEM)



SE versus BSE



SEM analysis of a catalyst for the partial oxidation of methane on Pt





- Which signals can I use for my sample?
 - characteristic X-rays,
 - backscattered electrons,
 - secondary electrons,
 - cathodoluminescence,...
- Which acceleration voltage should I use?
 - information depth
- Which working distance is best?
 resolution



Depth of Field













Atom columns align with the ion trajectory = higher penetration -> less SE electrons





Electron Channelling Contrast







Effects of Morphology







Effects of Morphology







Effects of Morphology





David Muller 2008


Effects of Morphology





Previous image turned upside down. We need to know where the detector is to tell bumps from pits!



Effects of Morphology







Secondary Electron Yield





David Muller 2008

Charging and Charge Neutralization



Electron Yield δ = # SE out / # e- in





Contrast Reversal



Contrast reversal in SE mode close to the neutrality point

SiO₂-Cr mask for TEG-FET transistors production





Energy Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy (EDX)





DI mie



Fluorescence Yield (ω):

 ω = # X-ray photons produced / # shell ionizations

M. Willinger, FHI







- SEM:
 - components of the SEM
 - signals and their information content
 - Focused Ion Beam/SEM
- TEM
- Examples



FIB: Focused Ion Beam



- a complete state of the art (high -performance) SEM equipped with
 - a) focused ion column
 - b) Gas injector system
 - c) micromanipulators



Dual beam ®, crossbeam ®

Liquid Metal Source





FIB Nanotomography





3D Microscopy



FIB Nanotomography





Preparing for slicing



the end



Automated milling and imaging of 170 slices (10h)

One of the biggest challenge in Life Sciencerin



~1' 000' 000' 000 neurons ~10' 000' 000' 000 connections





2 days of fully automated acqusition





Reconstruction...







Preparation of TEM Lamella





tp://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MadIrIGMhDw



future FIB @ FHI











- Set-up of a TEM
 - Electron Gun, Coherency, Lenses
- Basic Interactions
 - Elastic: imaging
 - Demo: Interference, Lattice Fringes, FFT
 - Inelastic: EDX and EELS
- Chemical Information



Ernst Ruska









Nobel Prize in Physics in 1986 Ernst Ruska, Gerd Binnig, Heinrich Rohrer









Electron gun



The electron gun produces a beam of monochromatic (coherent) electrons!!





a field-emission source: extraordinarily fine W needle











Electron gun

Acceleration stage

Condenser lens system:



Parallel or converging illumination of the specimen

M. Willinger, FHI









www.x-raymicroanalysis.com

... a few words on this one...

M. Willinger, FHI



Magnetic Lenses



Electron optics was born in 1927, when Hans Busch showed that the elementary lens equation is applicable to short magnetic coils.







Modell der Elektronenbahnen

Lens aberrations





Electrons are focused by simple round magnetic lenses which properties resemble the optical properties of a wine glass....

Unlike in light optics the wavelength (2pm for 300kV) is not the resolution limiting factor.

Lens aberrations and instabilities of the electronics (lens currents etc.) limit the resolution of even the best and most expensive transmission electron microscopes to about 50pm.





Lens aberrations:





Spherical aberration:

Spherical aberration causes wave fronts to bend more strongly at the outside of the lens than those close to the axis





Lens aberrations:





Advanced Techniques for Materials Characterization 2009/2010



A famous C_s-afflicted instrument





Hubble telescope:

the sides of its Ø 2.5 m primary mirror are 2 μ m too low (negative C_s) - the mirror was ground very precisely to the wrong shape. The error was avoidable.



Hubble repair: a modified camera lens assembly corrected for the too-low phaseshift of marginal rays and resultedin a spectacular improvement of image quality. Primary mirror was not changed.







N











Aberration corrected electron optics C_s is adjustable!



- TU Darmstadt (H. Rose)
- EMBL Heidelberg (M. Haider)
- Forschungszentrum Jülich (K. Urban)



Haider, Rose, Urban et al. **Nature 392**, 768 (1998)



Lens aberrations





Chromatic aberration:

Chromatic aberration results in electrons with a range of energies being focused in different planes





Lens aberrations





Electrons passing at different directions away from the optic axis have different focal lengths.







Electron gun

Acceleration stage

Condenser lens system

Specimen stage:

Now things get interesting!













Strahov Stadium in Prague













Questions you can ask an electron:

Q1: where are you going to? (→ direction)
Q2: how is your relation with the others? (→ phase)
Q3: how fast are you travelling? (→ energy)

Q4: are you up or down? $(\rightarrow spin)$


Electron - Sample Interactions











- Part I: Elastic Interactions
- Part II: Inelastic Interactions
 - the EELS spectrum
 - Spectrometer / Energy Filters
 - What kind of information do I get?

One day course on High Resolution Imaging Techniques



FH







Image Contrast in TEM



I. Mass-thickness contrast







Diffraction Contrast





f $+\theta$ Incident beam of radiation (light or electrons) Diffraction pattern Object (back focal plane) Magnified Lens Image $\psi(k_x,k_y) \propto F \left\{ T(X,Y) \right\} \qquad T(X,Y) \propto F^{-1} \left\{ \psi(k_x,k_y) \right\}$ f - focal length of the lens





Coherence & Interference





Nothing new... good old Bragg!

Diffraction spots

Elastic Interactions

Elastic scattering:

Elastic scattering at low angle is mostly due to Coulomb interactions with the negatively charged electron cloud.

Diffraction:

Interference of (coherently) scattered electron waves from periodically arranged atoms in a crystalline solid.

Diffraction contrast

Elastic scattered electrons are used for image generation in conventional TEM!

Q1: where are you going to?





1. Elastic Interactions



Elastic scattering:

Elastic scattering at low angle is mostly due to Coulomb interactions with the negatively charged electron cloud.

Diffraction:

Interference of (coherently) scattered electron waves from periodically arranged atoms in a crystalline solid.

ve aperture € € Bragg's Law beam Objective $2 d sin \theta = n\lambda$ lens Diffraction pattern Low resolution dark field image **Bright field (BF)**

Elastic scattered electrons are used for image generation in conventional TEM!

Q1: where are you going to?













1. Elastic Interactions



Elastic scattering:

Elastic scattering is also the basis for high resolution imaging, where changes in the phase of the electron waves gives rise to contrast variations:

Phase contrast imaging (HIGH RESOLUTION TEM, HRTEM)

Coherent, elastic scattering

Elastic scattered electrons are used for image generation in conventional TEM!

Q2: how is your relation with the others?





Transfer Function



J. Phys. Chem. C 2008, 112, 18815

A CODY

Contrast transfer function (CTF)





Willinger, FHI



Contrast transfer function (CTF)





Magnified Image



Transfer function





Transfer Function





Transfer function



T(H)<0 implies "positive" constrast: atom columns appear dark (in the print, not the negative!).

T(H)>O implies "negative" contrast: atom columns appear bright.

 T(H) = 0 implies no transfer of the respective spatial frequency at all!

Example: hypothetical crystal with four different sets of planes parallel to the viewing direction

- plane spacing: d1 > d2 > d3 > d4

- corresponding spatial frequencies: 1/d1 < 1/d2 < 1/d3 < 1/d4.

- the planes with spacing *d*1 appear with positive contrast
- the planes with spacing $d\mathbf{2}$ appear with negative contrast
- the planes with spacing d3 do not appear at all

- it is difficult to predict the contrast of the planes with spacing d4. We can avoid these problems by introducing an objective aperture.





Interpretation of TEM images







1. Elastic Interactions



Elastic scattering:

Elastic scattering at higher angles is essentially due to Coulomb interaction with an atomic nucleus.

Rutherford scattering:

Incoherent, elastic scattering to high angle Intensity is related to atomic number and thickness of specimen High Angle Annular Dark Field HAADF - STEM Imaging

Elastic scattered electrons are used for image generation in conventional TEM!

Q1: where are you going to?





~ 70pm Resolution + Chemical Information





M. Willinger, FHI

70pm Resolution + Chemical Information







Ok et al. *Chem.Mater.* **2006**, *18*, 3176-3183.

Out-off-center distortion







- Part I: Elastic Interactions
- Part II: Inelastic Interactions
 - Spectrometer / Energy Filters
 - The EELS spectrum
 - get? - What kind of information JEXT

One day course on High Resolution Imaging Techniques



Interpretation of TEM images



In the TEM we see 2D projections of 3D specimens, viewed in transmission

Our eyes and brain routinely understand reflected light images but are ill-equipped to interpret TEM images and so we must be cautious

This problem is well illustrated by the picture of the two rhinoceros side by side such that the head of one appears attached to the rear of the other



Figure 1.7. Photograph of two rhinos taken so that, in projection, they appear as one two-headed beast. Such projection artifacts in reflected-light images are easily discernible to the human eye but similar artifacts in TEM images are easily mistaken for "real" features.

Literature



TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROSCOPY

Basics

David B. Williams and C. Barry Carter





David B. Williams • C. Barry Carter Transmission Electron Microscopy

A Textbook for Materials Science





Second Edition