

### Electron Microscopy in Heterogeneous Catalysis Research

Dangsheng Su

# Aims and Compromises for Catalyst Studies



A. Howie

### Characterisation Methods for "Real" Catalysts under Vacuum Conditions

Auger electron spectroscopy

X-ray and UV photoelectron spectroscopy

Rutherford backscattering

Mass spectrometry with secondary ions or neutrals

FTEM

Scanning electron microscopy

TEM and STEM including EELS

### **Transmission electron microscope**



**Electron scattering** 

### **Advanced TEM Techniques**



Experimental studies

**Resolution < 1 Å with Cs corrector** 

#### Information on chemistry and bonding

#### M. Rühle

# Advanced EM in Catalysis

### Why EM

Advanced electron microscopy techniques are the only ones that can provide Information on the individueal nanocomponents of heterogeneous catalysts

XRD, XAS, IR, NMR, XPS provide infromation averaged over millions to trillions of nanocomponets

**STM**, **AFM** require stringent conditions on the samples to be examined

#### The versatility of a modern electron microscope

- Atomic resolution structural analysis
- Electron nanodffraction
- High-resolution nanoanalysis by X-ray Energy-Dispersive Specroscopy
- High-resolution nanoanalysis by Electron Energy-Loss Spectroscopy

either simultaneously or sequentially from the same region of the specimen !

### TEM: an unique tool to characterize nanostructured materials



Nanostructured binary molybdenum oxide catalyst precursors for propene oxidation



# **EM in Catalysis**

- 1. Size, shape, and spatial distribution of the noble metal particles.
- 2. Chemical composition
- 3. Surface structure of the support
- 4. Geometric relationship between metal particles and support
- Before and after reaction

# **EM in Catalysis**

# Size distribution and compositional analysis

# EM in Catalysis: Size distribution of nanoparticles





Wang et al, Chem. Commun., 2006, 1956–1958





### **High-dispersion**

Increasing the tolerance towards methanol using nanoalloys



### **Pt-Co alloy cathode**



### EM in Catalysis: Size distribution of nanoparticles



### **Pt-Ni alloy cathode**



#### High-dispersion Increasing the tolerance towards methanol using nanoalloys

#### As-grown Fe/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst

EDX



#### As-grown Fe/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst

#### TEM and X-ray mapping



100 nm

# **EM in Catalysis**

# Imaging

### What is image contrast

### Contrast (C) as the difference in intensity ( $\Delta I$ ) between two adjacent areas



Human eyes can't detect intensity changes < 5%, even < 10% is difficult.



**Figure 22.1.** Schematic intensity profiles across an image showing (A) different intensity levels  $(I_1 \text{ and } I_2)$  and the difference  $(\Delta I)$  between them, which defines the contrast. Generally, in a TEM, if the overall intensity is increased (B) the contrast decreases.

### What is image contrast

Do not confuse **intensity** with **contrast** when you describe your images. You can have *strong* or *weak* contrast but not *bright* or *dark* contrast.

The term *bright* and *dark* refer to density (number/unit area) of electrons hitting the screen/detector (and the subsequent light emission that you can see).

Lower the overall intensity — stronger contrast

Condense the beam onto a small area — lower the image contrast

Image contrast in a TEM is obtained either by selecting specific electrons or excluding them from the imaging system. So you can form either bright field (BF) image or dark field (DF) image by selecting the direct or scattered electrons, respectively.

### Image contrast in TEM





Mass-thickness contrast arises from incoherent (Rutherford) elastic scatter of electrons. The corss section for Rutherford scatter is a strong function of the atomic number *Z*, i.e., the mass or the density, as well as the thickness of the specimen.

### Way to get a good image contrast

A right wag of imaging in TEM is:

first view the diffraction pattern, since this pattern tells you how your specimen is scattering.

Second select using objective aperture either direct beam or some diffricated beams to form BF or DF image respectively.



If you form an image without the aperture, the contrast will be poor because many beams then contribute to the image, and aberrations due to the off-axis electrons will make your image impossible to focus. The aperture size govers which electrons contribute to the image and thus control the contrast.

#### **Mass-thickness contrast**

•The cross-section for elastic scattering is a function of *Z*.

•As the thickness of a specimen increases, there will be more elastic scattering because the mean-free path remains fixed

In a simple and qualatitive way, you can expect that

High-Z (i.e. high-mass) region of a specimen can scatter more electrons than a low-Z region;
Thick-region can scatter more electrons than a thin-region of the same

•Thick-region can scatter more electrons than a thin-region of the same average *Z* specimen.

Mass-thickness contrast is primarily contrast of amorphous specimen (polymers). But ususally any specimen can have mass-thickness contrast due to the change of thickness.

### Image contrast in TEM: Diffraction contrast

Bragg diffraction is controlled by the crystal structure and orientation of the specimen.

You can use this diffraction to create contrast in a TEM image. Diffraction contrast is simply a special form of amplitude contrast since the scattering occures at a special (Bragg) angle.



# Bright-Field and Dark-Field Imaging



**Figure 9.14.** Ray diagrams showing how the objective lens/aperture are used in combination to produce (A) a BF image formed from the direct beam, (B) a displaced-aperture DF image formed with a specific off-axis scattered beam, and (C) a CDF image where the incident beam is tilted so that the scattered beam remains on axis. The area selected by the objective aperture, as seen on the viewing screen, is shown below each ray diagram.

# Image contrast in TEM

#### **Diffraction contrast**





### Bright- and dark-field images of 5-15 nm Pd grains



### Image contrast in TEM

**Phase contrast** 

The electron wave passing through the high potential has its wavelength reduced giving a phase advance



#### High-resolution TEM can be obtained if you work with phase-contrast

The phase contrast arises due to the differences in the phase of the electron waves scattered through a thin specimen.

Phase contrast can be exploited to image the atomic structure of thin specimens if the TEM has sufficient resolution to deteced contrast variations at atomic dimensions.

The procedures can be straightforward, but phase-contrast is very sensitive to small changes in the thickness, orientation, to the variation in focus or astigmatism of the objective lens.

#### The origin of lattice fringes





If more than 1 diffraction sopts are included in the objective aperture, the diffractions will interfere with each other and in image plane interference fringes can be formed



#### The origin of lattice fringes

High resolution lattice fringes arise from interference of *direct* beam and diffracted beams.



Phase-contrast imaging differs from other forms of TEM imaging in the number of beams collected by the objective aperture. A BF or DF image requires a *single* beam. a phase-contrast image requires the selection of *more than one* beam.







**Figure 27.3.** (A) On-axis image of a perfect Si crystal; (B) the projected structure; (C) the diffraction pattern showing the 13 spots used to form the image inside the aperture (ring). The Si dumbbells do not correspond to the closely spaced pairs of spots in the image.

### **Application**

#### **Metal-support interaction**



# Metal-support interaction Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: as prepared



Zhong et al, J. Catal. 2005, 236:9.

# Metal-support interaction

### Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: after reduction



Cross-section HRTEM of Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst after reduction in H<sub>2</sub> at 1072 K. SAED of [-110] zone axis is insert.

Zhong et al, J. Catal. 2005, 236:9.

# Metal-support interaction: Pd/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>



Atomic resolution TEM image of a cross-section sample of a  $Pt/Al_2O_3$  model catalyst clearly shows the Pt nanoparticles, the structure of the alumina substrate, and the interfacial structures. The sample was reduced in H2/N2 mixture for 1 h at 1073 K. Simulated HRTEM images of the interfacial phase  $Pt_8Al_{21}$  oriented along the [20 8 1] zone axis and the  $\alpha$ -Al\_2O\_3 substrate oriented along the [010] zone axis were shown in the insets indicated by the letters A and B, respectively.

# Metal-support interaction: Pt/SiO<sub>2</sub>

#### As-prepared sample





Wang et al. J. Catalysis, 219 (2003) 434

# Metal-support interaction: Pt/SiO<sub>2</sub>

#### After reduction in H2 at 800°C





Pt<sub>3</sub>Si particle

Wang et al. J. Catalysis, 219 (2003) 434

# Metal-support interaction: Pt/SiO<sub>2</sub>



The beginning stages of a coalescence process of three particles with platelet shape.

Wang et al. J. Catalysis, 219 (2003) 434
# **EM in Catalysis**

Evaluation of metal-support interacton effects

- A: Metal-support epitaxy
- B: Decoration of metal particles
- C: Pt-Ce alloying
- D: Reversibility of metal-support decoration effects (left).

Redispersion of Rh into small, surface-clean particles after oxidation at a high temperature of 1173 k (right).

Gai et al, Annu. Rev. Mater. Res 2005, 36, 456







# EM in Catalysis: Challenges and Opportunities



Bernal et al *Surf. Interface Anal.* **29**, 411 (2000)

Interpretation of image contrast: image simulation

Supported catalyst: How small can a particle be imaged ?



S. Bernal et al. / Ultramicroscopy 72 (1998) 135

# **EM in Catalysis**

Catalytic reaction occurs on the surface of a catalyst

# Can TEM provide information about surface structure of a real catalyst ?

# Ag Catalyst: Ag/SiO2 IW

**Surface Structure** 

The formation of allyl alcohol is bound to active sites
Atoms at kinks and edges favor the formation of AyOH

## Ag Catalyst: Ag/SiO2 IW: Surface Structure



The (110) surface of Ag shows steps and missing rows, but in ordered fashion

# Ag Catalyst: 9Ag/SiO<sub>2</sub> P(NaOH) Surface Structure



Highly disordered surface, which looses the crystalline symmetry Hardly any kinks and sharp edges

# Hydrogenation of acrolein over silver catalysts



M. Bron, et al, Z. Phys. Chem, 218 (2004) 405

# Ag Catalyst: Comparison of Surface Structure



 Surface of particles prepared via incipient wetness (IW) show more kinks and edges than particles prepared via precipitation (P) technique

 Atoms at kinks and edges favour the formation of AyOH, this explains the higher selectivity of sample 9Ag/SiO2-IW

 HR-TEM images of the surfaces clearly reveal the difference in the active sites of both samples

### **Overview of Au/CNTs**



## Au/CNT



# Can the contact area and contact angle play a role ? Wetting condition



# **EM in Catalysis**

# Diffraction

### Interaction of electrons with specimen

### **Diffraction equations**

Bragg equation

The electron waves behave as if they were reflected off atomic planes

The reflected wave remains in phase If the path difference AB + BC (2 d sin  $\theta$ ) follows the Bragg equation





### Thinking in reciprocal space



### **Electron Diffraction Pattern from a Single Crystal**



### **Selected-Area Diffraction (SAD)**

Use select area aperture to include many crystallites and single crystallite



### **Electron Diffraction Pattern from a Polycrystalline**



Poly-crystals consist of different orientated single crystals. Their diffraction patterns come from these single crystal diffraction patterns superposed to each other. One diffraction ring is generated by superposing all spots of the single crystalline diffraction patterns with the same (*hkl*).

#### e-beam



### **Electron Diffraction Pattern**



Classification of space lattices by crystal system

Cubic: a = b = c $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$ Tetragonal:  $a = b \neq c$  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$ Hexagonal:  $a = b \neq c$  $\alpha = \beta = 90^{\circ}$  $\gamma = 120^{\circ}$ 



### **Image Interpretation**



Image interpretation and analysis can be even more difficult than taking an image

## **TEM gives only a 2D projection !**





By looking only in projection we can be fooled !

## **Multiwall Carbon Nanotube (MWCNT)**



lijima, Nature 354, 56-58 (1991)

Electron beam



Geometry of transmission electron microscopy in plan-view

#### 2D Projection: Are the Particles inside or outside of the CNT ?



#### 2D Projection: Are the Particles inside or outside of the CNT ?



# High-resolution imaging



**Abbe Interpretation of imaging** 

### Abbe Interpretation of imaging



# High resolution image: influence of focus (VO)<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>











20 nm

40 nm

50 nm

60 nm

### High resolution image: influence of thickness

 $(VO)_2P_2O_7$ 



## **High-resolution Image: experiment**

### NbMoO<sub>3</sub> HRTEM at various defocus



Prof. A. Kirkland, University of Cambridge

### **Contrast matching: experimental and simulation**

 $(VO)_2P_2O_7$ 





### **Delocalization effect in high-resolution image**

#### High resolution images of an Au nanoparticle





Extend your reach in the capital markets

MAKING THE RIGHT CONNECTIONS







### Di Wang

Electron-Matter interaction and radiation damage !

### Electron-Matter interaction and radiation damage !


#### The processes responsible for radiation damage are the same processes that provide diffraction and chemical information in electron microscope:



The fundamental unit of damage is an atom displaced to an interstitial site and its attendant vacancy, called collectively as a Frenkel defect



Sample is damaged (point defects), but the structure does not change

High density of Frenkel defects; the long range order of sample is seriously perturbed



#### Radiation damage





5.6 nm





HREM-series at different irradiation time. Specimen is nearly [001] oriented. The 110- and 100-lattice fringes of  $V_2O_5$  and VO are clearly recorded. The electron current density is 0.5 A/cm<sup>2</sup>

<sup>min</sup> D Si

D. Su, et al, Catal. Lett. 2001



V 2p and O 1s ELNES as a function of irradiation time.

The electron current density is  $0.5 \text{ A/cm}^2$ 



D. Su, et al, Catal. Lett. 2001





# Acknowledgment

FHI

#### D. Wang

M. Willinger

G. WeinbergA. Klein-HoffmanJ.P. Tessonnier

Manfred Schuster

FEI: S. Kujawa B. Freitag E. Sourty

TU Wien C. Hébert